

# Our Organisation 2014/2015

Produced by the Shared Intelligence Bureau June 2015

Direction of Travel (DoT) shows the trend of how an indicator is performing against its Polarity over time

No of Indicators = 23

				Previous Years			2014/2015						
			Collection Frequency	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Target	Polarity	DoT
Do it online	<u>YCC026</u>	Do it Online - Number of service requests (Data Unvalidated)	Weekly	-	15629	16969	3305	6931	3653	3080	-	Neutral	Neutral
	<u>YCC027</u>	Do it Online - Number of new user account created	Weekly	-	9,313	-	2503	3280	-	-	-	Up Is Good	Neutral
Phone Stats - YCC Total	<u>YCC117</u>	YCC % Calls answered in 20 seconds - TOTAL	Weekly	-	42.50%	47.60%	56.3%	29.6%	47.7%	59.7%	-	Up Is Good	Neutral
	<u>YCC118</u>	YCC % Calls Answered - TOTAL	Weekly	-	76.40%	79.50%	86.1%	65.6%	80.1%	88.4%	-	Up Is Good	Neutral
Finance	<u>OCC01</u>	% of council tax collected in year (cumulative) - (YTD)	Monthly	97.94%	97.58%	97.55%	29.51%	56.69%	85.39%	97.55%	97.80%	Up is Good	Neutral
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	97.37%	96.96%	(Available July)	-	-	-	-	-		
	<u>OCC02</u>	Council tax receipts collected in year (cumulative) £ million - (YTD)	Monthly	82.85	87.21	90.27	27.65	53.47	79.75	90.27	-	Up is Good	Good
	<u>OCC03</u>	% of non-domestic rates collected in year - (YTD)	Monthly	98.02%	98.02%	98.20%	29.58%	56.70%	82.84%	98.20%	98.50%	Up is Good	Neutral
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	97.73%	97.93%	(Available July)	-	-	-	-	-		
	<u>OCC10</u>	Non-domestic receipts collected in year £ million - (YTD)	Monthly	93.67	96.73	100.38	29.69	58.26	85.17	100.38	-	Up is Good	Good
	<u>OCC05</u>	% reduction in council tax prior year's balances - (YTD)	Monthly	35.29%	38.34%	33.78%	10.05%	15.02%	18.36%	33.78%	42.00%	Up is Good	Neutral
	<u>OCC04</u>	% reduction in non-domestic rates prior year's balances - (YTD)	Monthly	23.03%	47.27%	33.09%	9.24%	17.52%	22.56%	33.09%	42.00%	Up is Good	Neutral
	<u>OCC06</u>	Number of days taken to process Housing Benefit/Council Tax Benefit new claims and change events	Monthly	14.57	18	11	15	16	15	11	-	Up is Bad	Good
	<u>OCC07</u>	Benefit Reception Numbers	Monthly	2,314	1,868	1,848	1,771	1,836	1,616	1,848	-	Neutral	Neutral
	<u>OCC08</u>	Average Benefit Caseload for CYC	Monthly	15,123	13,919	13,103	13,685	13,427	13,331	13,103	-	Up is Bad	Good
<u>OCC11</u>	% of supplier invoices paid within 30 days	Monthly	94.93%	93.19%	96.48%	NC	93.90%	94.41%	96.48%	-	Up is Good	Good	
<u>OCC09</u>	CYC Apprenticeships	Annual	20	14	18	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	Neutral	
Staffing	<u>STF01</u>	Staff Headcount - CYC Total (Including Schools) - (Snapshot)	Monthly	-	7038	6096	6455	6200	6172	6096	-	Neutral	Neutral
	<u>STF01a</u>	Staff Headcount - CYC Total (Excluding Schools) - (Snapshot)	Monthly	-	-	2812	3155	2959	2906	2812	-	Neutral	Neutral
	<u>STF08</u>	Staff FTE - CYC Total (Including Schools) - (Snapshot)	Monthly	-	4562	3995	4182	4060	4043	3995	-	Neutral	Neutral
	<u>STF08a</u>	Staff FTE - CYC Total (Excluding Schools) - (Snapshot)	Monthly	-	-	2194	2405	2276	2249	2194	-	Neutral	Neutral
	<u>STF32</u>	Voluntary Turnover (%) - CYC Total (Including Schools)	Quarterly	-	-	8.50%	1.50%	3.70%	1.90%	1.30%	-	Up is Bad	Neutral
	<u>STF32a</u>	Voluntary Turnover - CYC Total (Excluding Schools)	Quarterly	-	-	7.00%	1.60%	2.70%	1.40%	1.30%	-	Up is Bad	Neutral
	<u>CYC01</u>	Average sickness days lost per FTE - CYC (Excluding Schools) - (YTD)	Monthly	-	8.39	11.39	2.7	5.16	8.48	11.39	-	Up is Bad	Bad
	<u>EDU03</u>	Average sickness days lost per FTE - Schools - (YTD)	Monthly	-	6.54	7.79	1.49	2.48	5.79	7.79	-	Up is Bad	Bad

# Build Strong Communities 2014/2015

Produced by the Shared Intelligence Bureau June 2015

Direction of Travel (DoT) shows the trend of how an indicator is performing against its Polarity over time

No of Indicators = 32

			Previous Years			2014/2015								
			Collection Frequency	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Target	Polarity	DoT	
Building Works	<u>CAN062</u>	No of council homes in York failing to meet the decency standard	Annual	36	15	3	-	-	-	-	0	Up is Bad	Good	
Educational Attainment	<u>102a</u>	%pt gap in achievement of Level 4+ Reading, Writing & Maths at Key Stage 2 between pupils eligible for FSM in the last 6 years and their peers - (Snapshot)	Annual	26%	19%	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	Good	
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	19.00%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	22.00%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	<u>102b</u>	%pt gap in achievement of 5+A*-Cs GCSE (or equivalent) including English & Maths at Key Stage 4 between pupils eligible for free school meals in the past 6 years and their peers- (Snapshot)	Annual	32%	39%	-	-	-	-	-	-	22.00%	Up is Bad	Bad
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	26.30%	26.70%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	30.60%	30.60%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Homelessness	<u>HOU101</u>	Number of homeless households in temporary accommodation - (Snapshot)	Quarterly	99	80	65	65	67	60	65	-	Up is Bad	Good	
	<u>HOU102</u>	Number of homeless households with dependent children in temporary accommodation - (Snapshot)	Quarterly	47	45	41	32	37	36	41	-	Up is Bad	Good	
	<u>HOU103</u>	Number of households for whom positive action has prevented homelessness - (YTD)	Quarterly	746	683	665	179	332	509	665	-	Up is Good	Neutral	
	<u>HOU104</u>	Number of applicant households for which decisions were taken - (YTD)	Quarterly	218	180	188	37	81	129	188	-	Neutral	Neutral	
	<u>HOU105</u>	Number of households accepted as homeless and in priority need - (YTD)	Quarterly	146	109	105	20	45	73	105	-	Up is Bad	Good	
	<u>HOU106</u>	Number of 16-17 year olds accepted as homeless - (YTD)	Quarterly	2	1	1	0	1	1	1	-	Up is Bad	Neutral	
Housing	<u>CAN061</u>	Number of new affordable homes delivered in York - (Year End Forecast)	Quarterly	115	50	136	N/A	185	185	136	-	Up is Good	Good	
	<u>CAN200</u>	Number of council homes let by direct exchange - (YTD)	Monthly	216	247	153	49	91	116	153	-	Up is Good	Bad	
	<u>CES09</u>	Net additional homes provided - (YTD)	Monthly	482	345	523	31	244	294	523	-	Up is Good	Neutral	
	<u>HOU107</u>	Number of active applicants on North Yorkshire Home Choice who are registered with CYC (Waiting List) - (Snapshot)	Quarterly	4692	2306	1545	N/A	1344	1439	1545	-	Up is Bad	Good	
Housing - Debt and	<u>HOU108</u>	Current council tenant arrears as % of annual rent due - (Snapshot)	Quarterly	1.62%	1.31%	1.61%	2.11%	2.34%	1.86%	1.61%	-	Up is Bad	Bad	
	<u>HOU109</u>	% of rent collected (including current arrears brought forward) - (Snapshot)	Quarterly	97.90%	98.04%	97.84%	91.46%	95.27%	97.06%	97.84%	-	Up is Good	Neutral	



# Build Strong Communities 2014/2015

Produced by the Shared Intelligence Bureau June 2015

Direction of Travel (DoT) shows the trend of how an indicator is performing against its Polarity over time

No of Indicators = 32

				Previous Years			2014/2015						
			Collection Frequency	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Target	Polarity	DoT
Libraries	<u>LIB01</u>	Library Visits - All Libraries	Monthly	1,005,595	1,043,285	799083	210367	184839	145449	258428	-	Up is Good	Bad
Public Realm	<u>CAN008</u>	Average days taken to remove obscene graffiti - (YTD)	Monthly	0.78	0.69	1.34	0.5	0.97	1.36	1.34	-	Up is Bad	Bad
	<u>CAN009</u>	Average days taken to remove non-obscene graffiti - (YTD)	Monthly	1.19	0.98	2.05	1.05	1.12	1.47	2.05	-	Up is Bad	Bad
Resident and Corporate Surveys	<u>BSC01</u>	Customer satisfaction that the quality of streets/public places is improving	Annual	63%	49%	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	Bad
	<u>BSC02</u>	Customer satisfaction on how we work to improve green spaces	Annual	60%	48%	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	Bad
	<u>BSC03</u>	% of residents who feel its important for them to feel part of their local community	Annual	70%	74%	-	-	-	-	-	-	Neutral	Neutral
	<u>BSC04</u>	% of CYC residents stating it's important residents can influence decisions in their local area	Annual	75%	86%	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	Good
	<u>BYS001</u>	% of residents who agree that they can influence decisions in their local area - Agree (All Responses)	Annual	29%	24%	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	Bad
	<u>BYS053</u>	% of residents who are satisfied with their local area as a place to live - Satisfied (All Responses)	Annual	91%	83%	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	Bad
	<u>BYS079</u>	% of residents who agree that they belong to their local area - Agree (All Responses)	Annual	55%	54%	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	Neutral
	<u>BYS105</u>	% of residents who agree their local area is a place where people from different backgrounds get on well together - Agree (All Responses)	Annual	73%	58%	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	Bad
	<u>BYS131</u>	% of residents who agree that York is a safe city to live in, relatively free from crime and violence - Agree (All Responses)	Annual	74%	80%	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	Good
	<u>BYS254</u>	% of residents who volunteer at least once a month (All Responses)	Annual	26%	33%	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	Good
	<u>BYS300</u>	% of residents who agree their local area is a safe place to live (All Responses)	Annual	79%	79%	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	Neutral
	<u>BYS301</u>	% that think CYC/partners are doing well at reducing crime and anti social behaviour	Annual	56%	48%	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	Bad
	<u>BYS302</u>	% of CYC residents think CYC/partners are working well to make communities safer	Annual	54%	45%	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	Bad
Tenant Satisfaction Survey	<u>TSS35</u>	% of tenants satisfied that their landlord listens to their views and acts on them	Annual	67.30%	61.57%	61.26%	-	-	-	61.26%	-	Up is Good	Neutral

			Previous Years			2014/2015							
			2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Target	Polarity	DoT	
		Collection Frequency											
Benefits	CJGE06	JSA Claimants: % of Working Age Population (16-64)	Monthly	2.30%	1.60%	0.80%	1.20%	1.00%	0.90%	0.80%	-	Up is Bad	Good
		Benchmark - National Data	Monthly	3.80%	2.90%	2.00%	2.40%	2.20%	1.90%	2.00%	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Monthly	4.90%	3.80%	2.70%	3.20%	2.90%	2.70%	2.70%	-		
	CJGE07	JSA Claimants: % of Working Age Population (18-24)	Monthly	2.60%	1.50%	0.70%	0.90%	1.10%	0.80%	0.70%	-	Up is Bad	Good
		Benchmark - National Data	Monthly	7.10%	5.00%	3.10%	3.90%	3.70%	3.00%	3.10%	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Monthly	9.00%	6.40%	4.20%	5.10%	4.90%	4.00%	4.20%	-		
	CJGE08	JSA Claimants: % of Working Age Population (16-64) (Over one year)	Monthly	0.50%	0.40%	0.20%	0.30%	0.30%	0.20%	0.20%	-	Up is Bad	Good
		Benchmark - National Data	Monthly	1.00%	0.80%	0.50%	0.70%	0.70%	0.60%	0.50%	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Monthly	1.40%	1.20%	0.80%	1.10%	1.00%	0.90%	0.80%	-		
	CJGE38	Total Benefit Claimants (Working Age 16-64)	Quarterly	11,510	10,670	(Available Aug 2015)	10,180	10,000	9,840	(Available Aug 2015)	-	Up is Bad	Neutral
	CJGE09	% Total Benefit Claimants (Working Age 16-64)	Quarterly	8.60%	7.90%	(Available Aug 2015)	7.60%	7.40%	7.30%	(Available Aug 2015)	-	Up is Bad	Good
		Benchmark - National Data	Quarterly	14.30%	13.30%	(Available Aug 2015)	12.90%	12.70%	12.50%	(Available Aug 2015)	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Quarterly	15.90%	14.90%	(Available Aug 2015)	14.40%	14.20%	13.90%	(Available Aug 2015)	-		
	CJGE39	Lone Parents (Working Age 16-64)	Quarterly	910	850	(Available Aug 2015)	840	820	810	(Available Aug 2015)	-	Up is Bad	Good
	CJGE10	% Lone Parents (Working Age 16-64)	Quarterly	0.70%	0.60%	(Available Aug 2015)	0.60%	0.60%	0.60%	(Available Aug 2015)	-	Up is Bad	Good
		Benchmark - National Data	Quarterly	1.30%	1.20%	(Available Aug 2015)	1.20%	1.20%	1.10%	(Available Aug 2015)	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Quarterly	1.30%	1.30%	(Available Aug 2015)	1.30%	1.30%	1.30%	(Available Aug 2015)	-		
	CJGE11	Workless Households % of all Households	Discontinued	13.20%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	Neutral
Business	CJGE22	Number of vacant city centre shops	Monthly	40	42	40	46	45	45	40	-	Up is Bad	Neutral
	CJGE23	% of vacant city centre shops	Monthly	5.95%	6.25%	5.99%	6.90%	6.75%	6.73%	5.99%	-	Up is Bad	Neutral
	CJGE27	Total Businesses	Annual	8,010	8,135	8,105	-	-	8,105	-	-	Up is Good	Neutral
	CJGE28	Business Births	Annual	720	945	(Available Nov 2015)	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	Good
	CJGE29	Business Deaths	Annual	635	600	(Available Nov 2015)	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	Neutral
	CJGE30	GVA per head (£)	Annual	23,084	23,483	(Available Dec 2015)	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	Good
	CJGE31	Total GVA (£ billion)	Annual	4.62	4.75	(Available Dec 2015)	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	Good
	CJGE32	Business Startups - (YTD)	Monthly	1,494	1,155	1144	324	613	867	1144	-	Up is Good	Bad
	CFC04	Business stock per 10,000 population (Rank out of 64)	Annual	20	19	19	-	-	19	-	-	Up is Bad	Neutral

# Create Jobs and Grow the Economy 2014/2015

Produced by the Shared Intelligence Bureau June 2015

Direction of Travel (DoT) shows the trend of how an indicator is performing against its Polarity over time

No of Indicators = 36

			Previous Years			2014/2015							
			2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Target	Polarity	DoT	
	Collection Frequency												
Centre for Cities	CFC12	Total CO2 emissions per capita (t) (Rank out of 64)	Annual	20	21	18	-	-	18	-	-	Up is Bad	Neutral
	CFC17	Employment rate (%) (Rank out of 64)	Annual	2	16	12	-	-	12	-	-	Up is Bad	Neutral
	CFC23	Working age population with qualification at NVQ4+ (%) (Rank out of 64)	Annual	7	7	9	-	-	9	-	-	Up is Bad	Bad
Deprivation and		Proportion of Children in Child Poverty (HMRC)	Annual	11.10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	Good
	CJGE13	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	18.60%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	20.00%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Earnings	CJGE14	Median earnings of residents – Gross Weekly Pay (£)	Annual	523.10	526.50	478.70	-	478.70	-	-	-	Up is Good	Bad
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	508.3	517.9	520.8	-	520.8	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	465.2	479.1	479.0	-	479.0	-	-	-		
	CJGE15	Median earnings of residents (% difference between York & GB)	Annual	2.83%	1.63%	-8.79%	-	-8.79%	-	-	-	Up is Good	Bad
CJGE16	Earnings gap between the 25 percentile and the median (£) (York)	Annual	244.10	237.90	181.70	-	181.70	-	-	-	Up is Bad	Good	
Education (Adult)	CJGE17	% of working age population qualified - No qualifications	Annual	6.50%	6.90%	4.80%	-	-	4.80%	-	-	Up is Bad	Neutral
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	9.70%	9.40%	8.80%	-	-	8.80%	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	11.60%	10.60%	9.80%	-	-	9.80%	-	-		
	CJGE18	% of working age population qualified - to at least L2 and above*	Annual	79.80%	80.30%	82.60%	-	-	82.60%	-	-	Up is Good	Good
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	71.80%	72.40%	73.30%	-	-	73.30%	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	68.50%	69.30%	70.00%	-	-	70.00%	-	-		
	CJGE19	% of working age population qualified - to at least L3 and above*	Annual	65.60%	65.80%	68.40%	-	-	68.40%	-	-	Up is Good	Good
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	54.90%	55.70%	56.70%	-	-	56.70%	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	51.20%	51.50%	52.10%	-	-	52.10%	-	-		
	CJGE20	% of working age population qualified - to at least L4 and above*	Annual	41.20%	40.20%	40.30%	-	-	40.30%	-	-	Up is Good	Neutral
	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	34.20%	35.10%	36.00%	-	-	36.00%	-	-			
	Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	29.40%	29.80%	29.70%	-	-	29.70%	-	-			
CJGE01	Total In Employment	Annual	104,600	105,400	(Available Sep 2015)	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	Neutral	
CJGE02	Total Employee Jobs	Annual	101,600	102,400	(Available Sep 2015)	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	Neutral	
CJGE03	York's unemployment rate below the national	Quarterly	1.70%	2.00%	(Available Sep 2015)	1.90%	1.50%	1.60%	-	-	Up is Good	Neutral	
	% of Full-time employees	Quarterly	67.70%	65.80%	(Available Jun 2015)	66.00%	64.70%	66.80%	-	-	Up is Good	Neutral	

# Create Jobs and Grow the Economy 2014/2015

Produced by the Shared Intelligence Bureau June 2015

Direction of Travel (DoT) shows the trend of how an indicator is performing against its Polarity over time

No of Indicators = 36

			Previous Years			2014/2015					Polarity	DoT	
		Collection Frequency	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Target			
Employment	<u>CJGE04</u>	Benchmark - National Data	Quarterly	73.70%	73.90%	(Available Jun 2015)	74.10%	74.10%	74.10%	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Quarterly	72.40%	72.70%	(Available Jun 2015)	73.00%	72.80%	72.60%	-	-		
	<u>CJGE05</u>	% of Part time employees	Quarterly	32.20%	33.80%	(Available Jun 2015)	33.40%	34.60%	32.40%	-	-	Up is Bad	Neutral
		Benchmark - National Data	Quarterly	25.80%	25.60%	(Available Jun 2015)	25.50%	25.50%	25.60%	-	-		
	<u>CJGE21</u>	Benchmark - Regional Data	Quarterly	26.90%	26.70%	(Available Jun 2015)	26.50%	26.70%	26.90%	-	-		
		% of young people not in education, employment or training	Annual	4.90%	4.20%	4.70%	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	Neutral
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	5.80%	5.30%	4.7%	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	6.30%	-	5.1%	-	-	-	-	-		
Safeguarding (Young People)	<u>CJGE12</u>	Children under 16 in Workless Households	Discontinued	6.10%	7.60%	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	Neutral	
Tourism	<u>TOU14</u>	Parliament Street Footfall	Monthly	7,941,059	7,844,253	9,616,941	2,297,279	3,081,726	2,578,661	1,896,562	9,854,228	Up is Good	Good



# Get York Moving 2014/2015

Produced by the Shared Intelligence Bureau June 2015

Direction of Travel (DoT) shows the trend of how an indicator is performing against its Polarity over time

No of Indicators = 15

				Previous Years			2014/2015						
				2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Target	Polarity	DoT
	Code	Description	Collection Frequency										
Highways Maintenance	CES03	% of road and pathway network that are grade 3 (poor condition) - roadways	Annual	15%	16%	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	Neutral
	CES04	% of road and pathway network that are grade 3 (poor condition) - pathways	Annual	5%	4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	Neutral
	CES05	% of Principal roads where maintenance should be considered (NI 168)	Annual	2%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	Neutral
	CES06	% of Non-principal classified roads where maintenance should be considered (NI 169)	Annual	5%	4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	Good
	CES07	% of Unclassified roads where maintenance should be considered (old BV224b)	Annual	10%	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	Neutral
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	17%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	17%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Public Transport	CAN031	LI 3 b - Bus journeys originating in the authority area (P&R only) - (YTD - Provisional until financial year end)	Monthly	4.38m	4.45m	4.51m	1,073,606	2,234,072	3,496,653	4,511,191	-	Up is Good	Neutral
	CAN032	LI 3 a- Local bus passenger journeys originating in the authority area (excluding P&R) - (YTD- Provisional until financial year end)	Monthly	9.70m	10.38m	10.48m	2,646,871	5,223,918	8,225,516	10,479,956	-	Up is Good	Neutral
	CAN032-A	Total Number of Bus Journeys (YTD) - (Provisional until financial year end)- this is not a local indicator and is not comparable with the DfT published figure	Monthly	14.08m	14.83m	-	3,719,830	7,457,343	11,721,552	-	-	Up is Good	Neutral
	CAN033	LI 22a - Proportion of non frequent scheduled services on time - DfT Published Figure	Annual	82.0%	84%	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	Good
	CAN034	LI 22 - Bus Services Running on Time (The average excess waiting time) DfT published figure (Minutes and seconds - decimal)	Annual	0.9	0.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	Neutral
Sustainable Travel	CES26	Index of cycling activity (AM Peak) (Calendar Year) (Baseline 2009)	Annual	115%	122%	129%	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	Good
	CES27	Index of cycling activity (PM Peak) (Calendar Year) (Baseline 2009)	Annual	115%	123%	125%	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	Good
	CES28	Index of cycling activity (12 hour) (Calendar Year) (Baseline 2009)	Annual	115%	125%	128%	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	Good
	CES33	Pedestrians crossing the inner cordon (12hr into & out of city centre) Indexed baseline 2009/10	Annual	105.5%	106.4%	106.6%	-	-	-	-	103%	Up is Good	Good
	CES34	% of customers arriving at York Station by sustainable modes of transport (cycling, walking, taxi or bus - excluding cars, Lift, Motorcycle, Train) (LI 4)	Annual	76.30%	73.00%	-	-	-	-	68.30%	-	Up is Good	Bad

# Protect the Environment 2014/2015

Produced by the Shared Intelligence Bureau June 2015

Direction of Travel (DoT) shows the trend of how an indicator is performing against its Polarity over time

No of Indicators = 25

			Previous Years			2014/2015					Polarity	DoT	
			2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Target			
		Collection Frequency											
Climate Change	<u>CES01</u>	Assessment of how the council is adapting to climate change (Level Number)	Annual	1	1	-	-	1 (forecast)	-	-	2	Up is Good	Neutral
	<u>CES02</u>	Reduction in CO2 through investing in more efficient street lighting	Annual	-13.64%	-	-	-	-	-	-	25% Over 5 Years	Up is Bad	Good
	<u>CES020</u>	York's CO2 per head of population (tonnes)	Annual	5.3 (2011)	5.6 (2012)	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	Neutral
Natural Environment	<u>CAN028</u>	The maximum Nitrogen Dioxide concentration in Salisbury Terrace (ug/m3)	Annual	36.08	37.60	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	Neutral
	<u>CAN028 a</u>	The maximum Nitrogen Dioxide concentration in Prices/Nunnery Lane (ug/m3)	Annual	40.83	42.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	Neutral
	<u>CAN028 b</u>	The maximum Nitrogen Dioxide concentration in Blossom St/Holgate (ug/m3)	Annual	51.59	49.18	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	Good
	<u>CAN028 c</u>	The maximum Nitrogen Dioxide concentration in Fishergate/Paragon (ug/m3)	Annual	39.97	45.22	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	Neutral
	<u>CAN028 d</u>	The maximum Nitrogen Dioxide concentration in Lawrence St (ug/m3)	Annual	47.45	46.97	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	Neutral
	<u>CAN028 e</u>	The maximum Nitrogen Dioxide concentration in Fulford (ug/m3)	Annual	36.29	39.46	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	Neutral
	<u>CAN028 f</u>	The maximum Nitrogen Dioxide concentration in Gillygate / LMW (ug/m3)	Annual	50.71	52.17	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	Neutral
	<u>CAN028 g</u>	The maximum Nitrogen Dioxide concentration in Rougier St/GHS (ug/m3)	Annual	50.85	54.75	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	Neutral
	<u>CES11</u>	Active management of local sites to improve bio-diversity in the York area	Discontinued	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Neutral	Neutral
	<u>CES12</u>	% of conservation areas with an up to date character appraisal	Discontinued	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	Neutral
<u>CES13</u>	% of new homes built on previously developed land - (YTD)	Monthly	73.00%	84.00%	70.17%	93.55%	74.59%	74.15%	70.17%	65.00%	Up is Good	Neutral	



			Previous Years			2014/2015							
			2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Target	Polarity	DoT	
		Collection Frequency											
Waste	<u>CES35</u>	Residual household waste (kg per HH) - (YTD)	Quarterly	541kg	559kg	-	546 Kg (forecast)	547kg (forecast)	549kg (forecast)	-	545kg	Up is Bad	Good
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	551kg	555kg	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	543kg	534kg	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	<u>CES36</u>	Household waste recycled / composted- (YTD)	Quarterly	45.96%	43.63%	-	44.68% (forecast)	44.58% (forecast)	44.45% (forecast)	-	47.61%	Up is Good	Neutral
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	43.22%	43.45%	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	43.31%	43.85%	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	<u>CES37</u>	Municipal waste landfilled - (YTD)	Quarterly	53.76%	55.83%	-	55.51% (forecast)	55.71% (forecast)	55.63% (forecast)	-	51.56	Up is Bad	Neutral
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	33.89%	30.93%	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	38.17%	34.71%	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	<u>CES38</u>	Total tonnes of municipal waste collected (household, commercial, prescribed and inert waste) - (YTD)	Quarterly	97,000	93,830	93,430	94,200 (forecast)	93,950 (forecast)	94,300 (forecast)	93,430	-	Neutral	Neutral
	<u>CES39</u>	Tonnes of Landfilled waste - Household (excluding liquid waste) - (YTD)	Quarterly	45,930	46,850	46,740	46,550 (forecast)	46,620 (forecast)	46,740 (forecast)	46,740	-	Up is Bad	Neutral
	<u>CES40</u>	Tonnes of Landfilled waste - Commercial collection rounds - (YTD)	Quarterly	6,220	5,620	5,630	5,730 (forecast)	5,720 (forecast)	5,720 (forecast)	5,630	-	Up is Bad	Neutral
	<u>CES41</u>	Tonnes of Landfilled waste - Combined (excluding liquid waste)	Quarterly	52,150	52,470	52,370	52,280 (forecast)	52,340 (forecast)	52,460 (tonnes)	52,370	-	Up is Bad	Neutral
	<u>CES42</u>	Cost of landfill tax - Household (excluding liquid waste)	Quarterly	£2,939,520	£3,373,200	£3,739,200	£3,724,000 (forecast)	£3,729,600 (forecast)	£3,739,200 (forecast)	£3,739,200	-	Up is Bad	Bad
	<u>CES43</u>	Cost of landfill tax - Commercial collection rounds	Quarterly	£398,080	£404,640	£450,400	£458,400 (forecast)	£457,600 (forecast)	£457,600 (forecast)	£450,400	-	Up is Bad	Bad
<u>CES44</u>	Cost of landfill tax - Combined (excluding liquid waste)	Quarterly	£3,337,600	£3,777,840	£4,189,600	£4,182,240 (forecast)	£4,187,200 (forecast)	£4,196,800 (forecast)	£4,189,600	-	Up is Bad	Bad	
<u>CES45</u>	% of properties offered 2 kerbside recycle collections - (YTD)	Quarterly	98.70%	98.80%	99%	99% (forecast)	99% (forecast)	99% (forecast)	99%	-	Up is Good	Good	



# Protect Vulnerable People 2014/2015

Produced by the Shared Intelligence Bureau June 2015

Direction of Travel (DoT) shows the trend of how an indicator is performing against its Polarity over time

No of Indicators = 134

			Previous Years			2014/2015				Target	Polarity	DoT	
		Collection Frequency	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4				
Social Care Outcomes Framework	ASCOF2	% of older people (65+) who were still at home 91 days after discharge from hospital into reablement/rehabilitation services	Annual	69.80%	80.90%	81.48%	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	Good
	B1	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	81.40%	82.50%	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	81.50%	85.30%	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	ASCOF2	% of older people (65 and over) who were offered reablement services following discharge from hospital	Annual	0.70%	1.10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	Good
	B2	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	3.20%	3.30%	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	2.00%	1.90%	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	ASCOF2	Delayed transfers of care from hospital, per 100,000 population - (Snapshot)	Monthly	18.2	17.6	11.89	13.01	13.01	13.15	11.89	-	Up is Bad	Good
	C1	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	9.4	9.6	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	7.8	9.1	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	ASCOF2	Delayed transfers of care from hospital which are attributable to adult social care, per 100,000 population - (Snapshot)	Monthly	10.7	11.1	6.35	5.08	6.20	7.25	6.35	-	Up is Bad	Good
	C2	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	3.2	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	2.3	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	ASCOF4	% of people who use services who feel safe	Annual	61.80%	63.40%	62.00%	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	Neutral
	A	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	65.10%	66.00%	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	67.30%	66.20%	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	ASCOF3	Overall satisfaction of people who use services with their care and support	Annual	65.80%	67.40%	67.00%	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	Neutral
A	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	64.10%	64.80%	-	-	-	-	-	-			
	Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	65.40%	65.80%	-	-	-	-	-	-			
PHOF03	Alcohol related admissions to hospital (per 100,000 population)	Annual	594.09	658	-	-	-	-	-	Reduce	Up is Bad	Bad	
	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	636.85	645	-	-	-	-	-	-			
	Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	687.88	697	-	-	-	-	-	-			
LAPE01	Months of life lost due to alcohol: Males aged less than 75 years	Annual	11.91	11.69	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	Bad	
	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	11.49	11.97	-	-	-	-	-	-			
	Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	12.26	12.98	-	-	-	-	-	-			
LAPE02	Months of life lost due to alcohol: Females aged less than 75 years	Annual	5.54	5.65	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	Bad	
	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	5.38	5.58	-	-	-	-	-	-			
LAPE03	Alcohol-specific mortality: Males, all ages (per 100,000 population)	Annual	13.28	14.60	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	Neutral	



# Protect Vulnerable People 2014/2015

Produced by the Shared Intelligence Bureau June 2015

Direction of Travel (DoT) shows the trend of how an indicator is performing against its Polarity over time

No of Indicators = 134

			Previous Years			2014/2015					Polarity	DoT	
		Collection Frequency	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Target			
Alcohol	LAPE03	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	14.57	16.61	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	15.80	18.13	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	LAPE04	Alcohol-specific mortality: Females, all ages (per 100,000 population)	Annual	6.83	7.86	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	Bad
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	6.78	7.47	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	7.49	8.73	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	LAPE07	Alcohol-related mortality: Males, all ages (per 100,000 population)	Annual	58.79	60.05	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	Neutral
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	63.20	65.43	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	66.95	68.36	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	LAPE08	Alcohol-related mortality: Females, all ages (per 100,000 population)	Annual	27.16	26.12	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	Neutral
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	28.05	28.42	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	28.07	30.27	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	LAPE09	Under 18s admitted to hospital with alcohol-specific conditions (per 100,000 population)	Annual	28.86	30.50	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	Good
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	44.88	40.10	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	44.14	38.10	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	LAPE10	Admitted to hospital with alcohol-specific conditions: Males, all ages (per 100,000 population)	Annual	387.16	449.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	Good
	Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	521.67	535.00	-	-	-	-	-	-			
LAPE11	Admitted to hospital with alcohol-specific conditions: Females, all ages (per 100,000 population)	Annual	219.11	251.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	Neutral	
	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	232.26	241.00	-	-	-	-	-	-			
	Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	243.63	255.00	-	-	-	-	-	-			
LAPE12	Admitted to hospital with alcohol-related conditions (Broad): Males, all ages (per 100,000 population)	Annual	1283.52	1480.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	Good	
	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	1676.33	1715.00	-	-	-	-	-	-			
	Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	1752.53	1815.00	-	-	-	-	-	-			
LAPE13	Admitted to hospital with alcohol-related conditions (Broad): Females, all ages (per 100,000 population)	Annual	662.22	738.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	Neutral	
	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	831.84	859.00	-	-	-	-	-	-			
	Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	865.69	904.00	-	-	-	-	-	-			
LAPE14	Admitted to hospital with alcohol-related conditions (Narrow): Males, all ages (per 100,000 population)	Annual	494.32	529.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	Neutral	
	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	588.98	594.00	-	-	-	-	-	-			
	Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	623.75	629.00	-	-	-	-	-	-			
LAPE15	Admitted to hospital with alcohol-related conditions (Narrow): Females, all ages (per 100,000 population)	Annual	285.66	311.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	Neutral	







# Protect Vulnerable People 2014/2015

Produced by the Shared Intelligence Bureau June 2015

Direction of Travel (DoT) shows the trend of how an indicator is performing against its Polarity over time

No of Indicators = 134

			Previous Years			2014/2015							
		Collection Frequency	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Target	Polarity	DoT	
Educational Attainment		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	5.45	-	-	-	-	-	-			
	<u>KS2E</u>	% of pupils achieving Key Stage 2 Level 4+ English	Annual	87.00%	88.00%	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	Good	
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	87.00%	88.00%	-	-	-	-	-			
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	85.00%	86.00%	-	-	-	-	-			
	<u>KS2M</u>	% of pupils achieving Key Stage 2 Level 4+ Maths	Annual	84.00%	87.00%	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	Good	
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	85.00%	86.00%	-	-	-	-	-			
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	83.00%	83.00%	-	-	-	-	-			
	<u>KS2S</u>	% of pupils achieving Key Stage 2 Level 4+ Science	Annual	89.00%	90.00%	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	Good	
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	88.00%	88.00%	-	-	-	-	-			
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	86.00%	86.00%	-	-	-	-	-			
	<u>75a</u>	% of pupils achieving 5+ A*-Cs GCSE inc. English & Maths at Key Stage 4 (new First Entry definition) - (Snapshot)	Annual	-	62.30%	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	Neutral
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	-	53.40%	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	-	53.90%	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	<u>102a</u>	%pt gap in achievement of Level 4+ Reading, Writing & Maths at Key Stage 2 between pupils eligible for FSM in the last 6 years and their peers - (Snapshot)	Annual	26%	19%	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	Good
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	19.00%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	22.00%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	<u>102b</u>	%pt gap in achievement of 5+A*-Cs GCSE (or equivalent) including English & Maths at Key Stage 4 between pupils eligible for free school meals in the past 6 years and their peers- (Snapshot)	Annual	32%	39%	-	-	-	-	-	22.00%	Up is Bad	Bad
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	26.30%	26.70%	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	30.60%	30.60%	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	Homelessness	<u>PHOF25</u>	Statutory homelessness - homelessness acceptances (per 1,000 estimated total households)	Annual	1.64	1.28	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	Good
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	2.37	2.32	-	-	-	-	-			
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	2.13	1.54	-	-	-	-	-			
<u>PHOF26</u>		Statutory homelessness - households in temporary accommodation (per 1,000 estimated total households)	Annual	1.11	0.94	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	Neutral	
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	2.44	2.59	-	-	-	-	-			
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	0.39	0.3	-	-	-	-	-			
<u>HOU280</u>		Households accepted as being homeless and in priority need - % Mental illness or disability - (YTD)	Quarterly	7.50%	17.40%	16.50%	15.00%	9.30%	9.86%	16.50%	-	Neutral	Neutral



# Protect Vulnerable People 2014/2015

Produced by the Shared Intelligence Bureau June 2015

Direction of Travel (DoT) shows the trend of how an indicator is performing against its Polarity over time

No of Indicators = 134

			Previous Years			2014/2015							
			2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Target	Polarity	DoT	
		Benchmark - National Data	Quarterly	8.13%	8.57%	8.21%	8.39%	8.05%	8.15%	8.21%	-		
	<u>HOU281</u>	Households accepted as being homeless and in priority need - % Domestic Violence - (YTD)	Quarterly	4.80%	3.70%	9.40%	0.00%	4.70%	4.20%	9.40%	-	Neutral	Neutral
		Benchmark - National Data	Quarterly	2.90%	2.83%	2.82%	2.80%	2.88%	2.86%	2.82%	-		
Life Expectancy	<u>PHOF36</u>	Life Expectancy at birth - Male	Annual	79.6	79.40	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	Neutral
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	79.21	79.41	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	78.3	78.50	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	<u>PHOF16</u>	Life Expectancy at birth - Female	Annual	83.2	83.50	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	Neutral
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	83.01	83.12	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	82.2	82.20	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	<u>PHOF37</u>	Slope index of inequality in life expectancy at birth - Males - (Two year period)	Annual	7.25	7.41	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	Neutral
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	9.24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	<u>PHOF17</u>	Slope index of inequality in life expectancy at birth - Females - (Two year period)	Annual	5.91	5.82	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	Neutral
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	6.85	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Mental Health	<u>PHOF39</u>	Average strengths and difficulties score of the emotional and behavioural health of children looked after continuously for 12 months at 31 March	Annual	14.3	14.40	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	Neutral
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	14	13.90	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	14.2	14.00	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Obesity	<u>NCMP01</u>	% of reception year children recorded as being obese	Annual	8.05%	7.82%	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	Good
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	9.27%	9.48%	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	8.94%	9.20%	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	<u>NCMP02</u>	% of children in Year 6 recorded as being obese	Annual	16.36%	15.35%	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	Neutral
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	18.92%	19.09%	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	19.01%	19.22%	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	<u>RM74</u>	School Ofsted Rating - Primary: Outstanding - numbers	Quarterly	-	12	11	11	11	11	11	-	Up is Good	Neutral
	<u>RM75</u>	School Ofsted Rating - Primary: Outstanding -%	Quarterly	-	23.00%	21.00%	21.00%	21.00%	21.00%	21.00%	-	Up is Good	Neutral
	<u>RM76</u>	School Ofsted Rating - Primary: Good - numbers	Quarterly	-	28	34	33	34	34	34	-	Up is Good	Good
	<u>RM77</u>	School Ofsted Rating - Primary: Good -%	Quarterly	-	54.00%	65.00%	63.00%	65.00%	65.00%	65.00%	-	Up is Good	Good
	<u>RM78</u>	School Ofsted Rating - Primary: Requires improvement - numbers	Quarterly	-	9	6	6	6	6	6	-	Up is Bad	Good



# Protect Vulnerable People 2014/2015

Produced by the Shared Intelligence Bureau June 2015

Direction of Travel (DoT) shows the trend of how an indicator is performing against its Polarity over time

No of Indicators = 134

			Previous Years			2014/2015							
			2012/13	2013/14	2014/15	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Target	Polarity	DoT	
Ofsted Ratings	<u>RM79</u>	School Ofsted Rating - Primary: Requires improvement -%	Quarterly	-	17.00%	12.00%	12.00%	12.00%	12.00%	12.00%	-	Up is Bad	Good
	<u>RM80</u>	School Ofsted Rating - Primary: Inadequate - numbers	Quarterly	-	3	0	3	1	1	0	-	Up is Bad	Good
	<u>RM81</u>	School Ofsted Rating - Primary: Inadequate -%	Quarterly	-	6.00%	0.00%	4.00%	2.00%	2.00%	0.00%	-	Up is Bad	Good
	<u>RM81b</u>	School Ofsted Rating - Primary: No Rating - numbers	Quarterly	-	0	1	0	0	0	1	-	Neutral	Neutral
	<u>RM81c</u>	School Ofsted Rating - Primary: No Rating - %	Quarterly	-	0.00%	2.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	2.00%	-	Neutral	Neutral
	<u>RM82</u>	School Ofsted Rating - Secondary: Outstanding - numbers	Quarterly	-	3	3	3	3	3	3	-	Up is Good	Neutral
	<u>RM83</u>	School Ofsted Rating - Secondary: Outstanding -%	Quarterly	-	25.00%	27.00%	27.00%	27.00%	27.00%	27.00%	-	Up is Good	Neutral
	<u>RM84</u>	School Ofsted Rating - Secondary: Good - numbers	Quarterly	-	7	7	7	7	7	7	-	Up is Good	Neutral
	<u>RM85</u>	School Ofsted Rating - Secondary: Good -%	Quarterly	-	58.00%	64.00%	64.00%	64.00%	64.00%	64.00%	-	Up is Good	Neutral
	<u>RM86</u>	School Ofsted Rating - Secondary: Requires improvement - numbers	Quarterly	-	2	1	1	1	1	1	-	Up is Bad	Good
	<u>RM87</u>	School Ofsted Rating - Secondary: Requires improvement -%	Quarterly	-	17.00%	9.00%	9.00%	9.00%	9.00%	9.00%	-	Up is Bad	Good
	<u>RM88</u>	School Ofsted Rating - Secondary: Inadequate - numbers	Quarterly	-	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	Up is Bad	Neutral
	<u>RM89</u>	School Ofsted Rating - Secondary: Inadequate -%	Quarterly	-	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	-	Up is Bad	Neutral
	<u>RM89b</u>	School Ofsted Rating - Secondary: No Rating - number	Quarterly	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	Neutral	Neutral
	<u>RM89c</u>	School Ofsted Rating - Secondary: No Rating - %	Quarterly	-	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	-	Neutral	Neutral
	<u>RM90</u>	School Ofsted Rating - Overall: Outstanding - numbers	Quarterly	-	15	14	14	14	14	14	-	Up is Good	Neutral
	<u>RM91</u>	School Ofsted Rating - Overall: Outstanding -%	Quarterly	-	23.00%	22.00%	22.00%	22.00%	22.00%	22.00%	-	Up is Good	Neutral
	<u>RM92</u>	School Ofsted Rating - Overall: Good - numbers	Quarterly	-	35	41	40	41	41	41	-	Up is Good	Good
	<u>RM93</u>	School Ofsted Rating - Overall: Good -%	Quarterly	-	55.00%	65.00%	63.00%	65.00%	65.00%	65.00%	-	Up is Good	Good
	<u>RM94</u>	School Ofsted Rating - Overall: Requires improvement - numbers	Quarterly	-	11	7	7	7	7	7	-	Up is Bad	Good
<u>RM95</u>	School Ofsted Rating - Overall: Requires improvement -%	Quarterly	-	17.00%	11.00%	11.00%	11.00%	11.00%	11.00%	-	Up is Bad	Good	
<u>RM96</u>	School Ofsted Rating - Overall: Inadequate - numbers	Quarterly	-	3	0	2	1	1	0	-	Up is Bad	Good	
<u>RM97</u>	School Ofsted Rating - Overall: Inadequate -%	Quarterly	-	5.00%	0.00%	3.00%	2.00%	2.00%	0.00%	-	Up is Bad	Good	
<u>RM97b</u>	School Ofsted Rating - Overall: No Rating - numbers	Quarterly	-	0	1	0	0	0	1	-	Neutral	Neutral	
<u>RM97c</u>	School Ofsted Rating - Overall: No Rating - %	Quarterly	-	0.00%	2.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	2.00%	-	Neutral	Neutral	



